((dm - 13.1) mFR

Approved For Release 2004/03/17 CIA-RDP75B00514R000100180008-9

	NAM AND	ADDRESS	DATE.	I di e con a
	1000 - 700 - 40			The second of th
_	The Directo)r		
2				
_				
3				
Į.				
				and the same of th
è			:	
5				ILLEGIB
, 	2007 OV	DIRECT REPLY	/ 10.5	72 11
	ADVIOR APPORTAL	DISPATCH	21 3.	
	COMMENT	FILE	i a	11
-	COMBETTRENCE	INFORMATION		.Tu
				ILLEGIB
		HERE TO RETUR		ILLEGIB
	.MOM: NAME.	HERE TO RETUR		ILLEGIB
	NOM: NAME.	ADDRESS AND PHO	ONE NO.	ILLEGIE
	77. K. White UNCLASSIFIED	ADDRESS AND PHO		ILLEGIB
:M -6;	NOM: NAME.	ADDRESS AND PHO	ONE NO.	ILLEGIE
: M 6 :	ANOM: NAME.	ADDRESS AND PHO	ONE NO.	ILLEGIE
Γ	ANOM: NAME.	ADDRESS AND PHO	ONE NO.	

DD/S&T FILE COPY

Approved For Release 2004/03/17 : CIA-RDP75B00514R000100180008-9

DD/S&T#497-72 | ILLEGIB
2 February 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: Discussion paper: "Science, Technology, and Change: Implications for the Central Intelligence Agency"

I have read paper on "Science, Technology, and Change." The answer to the question of how to organize is quite different in CIA from the military services and possibly even industry. In the military, rotation of key personnel is frequent. scheme of things is geared to training large numbers of personnel to command the same kinds of organizations. When a new commander arrives, he knows exactly how the unit is organized and what training and qualifications he has among his personnel. He really can't change it very much. He also has very little to say about who the people occupying the key posts will be. They come and go on orders from above to command organizations for which they have been trained. mander, of course, imposes his own style of leadership and can within limitations reassign personnel within his unit. In a very real sense however, he has no choice but to conform to the organization. organization is extremely important.

In CIA, the situation is just the opposite. Without a doubt the people dominate and the organization conforms. Here it is hard to find "the organization man." We don't have the rotational program to cope Our organization, therefore, can be - and is - informal in the We can, however, quickly put together a good team to solve a problem that cuts across organization lines. This is the reason why I think pragmatism has properly characterized our approach to organization and I am inclined to think that it should in the future. tively simple if you are starting from scratch to set up a new organization, putting like things together, etc. (It starts getting complicated only when you begin to put people in the organization boxes.) It is quite another to change an existing, and especially a successful, organization where all the boxes are filled with competent, hard-charging One just can't ignore where he is today and how he got there. people.

The foregoing is not meant to imply that organization isn't important. It is - but of secondary importance to the people. Nor is it meant to imply that nothing should change. It must. An "as is"

DD/S&T FILE COPY

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/03/17: CIA-RDP75B00514R000100180008-9

Approved For Release 2004/03/17 : CIA-RDP75B00514R000100180008-9

25X1

philosophy of management will be fatal. Everything about us is changing and so must we. The question is how and when. I don't find a very good answer in the don't have one.	25X1
is more specific about what is wrong organizationally with our research, development, testing and engineering program. About this, he may well be right. Our several efforts to effect authoritative coordination of our total program have not been very successful. Today, we've just about gone full circle with each of the four Directorates fighting for its share of the funds, carrying out its own program, in accordance with its own priorities, etc., a game in which the Executive Director-Comptroller is the referee. We have no list of Agency-wide priorities and I am not sure that we are getting the manimum out of this program. I do think that paper might form the basis for a most interesting and hopefully productive discussion at your Planning Conference if sufficient work is	25X1
done in advance to prepare for it.	
; :	25X1
L. K. White	25/(1

Approved For Release 2004/03/17: CIA-RDP75B00514R000100180008-9

1- 63 4/2

4 February 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

1. As I indicated in very sketchy fashion, I find this a most
stimulating survey, although it by no means provides all the answers
I think it is particularly effective in its stress on the present accel-
eration of the intelligence process and the enormous quantitative ϵx -
plosion we face. I would say that this is compounded by the new
multipolar world we will face in coming years. In this light, I thank
stress on the need for new techniques of analysis
and the mechanization of many of our processes is well taken as
the yellow pad and pencil alone really won't be enough to handle the
problems of the future.

- 2. I see the need for R&D of this nature not only in analysis but also in collection (including espionage as well as technical), operational support, information processing and retrieval, and of course internal management. I have the same visceral repugnance that many have to the term "systems analysis," but I think we will have to speed up our ways to consider the alternatives on complicated problems in the future through the use of technology. The technology can't give us the answers, but it frequently can help us to see the alternatives and can pose questions we might not have noted for ultimate resolution by the ever-necessary gray indentations of the human brain.
- 3. On the organizational implication, I think the paper is somewhat weaker. Kaleidoscopic or even psychedelic organizational forms would in my opinion be more destabilizing than helpful in reacting to new problems. On the other hand, we all agree that we must not be frozen into the organizational rigidities of the past. The task force idea is certainly appropriate, and so are some of the various working groups and boards that have been established on an ad hoc basis. With respect to R&D, I have in process a proposal to take a new look at the way we are organized for R&D, which I will shortly be offering for the review and comment of the Deputies before submitting it to you.
- 4. I concur with Colonel White that the modernization of the Agency is well worth the attention of you and the Deputies at your

Approved For Release 2004/03/17: CIA-RDP75B00514R000100180008-9

- 2 -

annual conference. I believe, however, that we might be able to refine a few specific aspects of the problem for a more detailed examination within the overall context. I will put this on our tentative agenda and see what I can come up with in terms of specifics for further discussion.

	W. E. Colby
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Director-Comptroller
Executive	Director-Computation
111000011	* /
	/
	/

25X1

Attachments:

- A. Memo for DCI from L. K. White dtd 2 Feb 72, Subj: Discussion Paper: "Science, Technology, and Change: Implications for the Central Intelligence Agency"
- B. Memo to DCI from DD/S&T dtd 28 Jan 72, Same Subject w/ Paper